

IRVINE, CA 92614

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,178	12/23/2003	Li-Ming Cheng	21406-001	7280	
60951 75	590 11/17/2008		EXAMINER		
WPAT, PC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS					
2030 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1300		JANE 13	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/743,178	CHENG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Blair M. Johnson	3634	

The Appeal Brief filed on 05 August 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED LINDER 37 CFR 1.136. 1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. 2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). 3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). 4. (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). 5. A The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) 6. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). 7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). 8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). 9. The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). 10. ☐ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): See Continuation Sheet. /Blair M . Johnson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3634

⁻⁻The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): Status of Claims: Calims 59.60, are said to be rejected but not on appeal. Such is incorrect. Such claims need not be argued separately but they stand rejected and are, thus, under appeal. The statement regarding claims 67-69 is incorrect. The referenced situation was resolved in the final action of 6/13/08. The rejection under 11/2(2) has not been argued. The amendment after filing of the appeal brief was denied entry to the amendment to claim 70 introducing limitations requiring further consideration. The 11/2(2) rejections to claim 70.71 and 73 remain but have not been argued nor have the claims been properly amended. Regarding (5) above, the claims rejected under 103 of fection in view of Kuhar in the brief is not consistent with the claims in the final office action. Also, the 112 rejection is under paragraph 2, and not 1 as stated in the brief. Regarding (6), above, Appellant has provided arguments based only on specific claims or groups of claims. The less are clear the arguments must be presented under a heading for each rejection made. The arguments under headings for individual or groupls of claims is permissible. The evidence submitted is in proper form and was timely filed and will be considered.